1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON FILED 109 JAN 28 16:25 USDC-0RE
3	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, )
4	) Plaintiff, ) No. 05-60008-2-HO
5	v. ) January 6, 2009
6	) PIROUZ SEDAGHATY, et al., ) Eugene, Oregon
7	Defendants. )
8	
9	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
10	BEFORE THE HONORABLE MICHAEL R. HOGAN
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
12	
13	-:-
14	
15	APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL
16	FOR THE PLAINTIFF: CHRISTOPHER L. CARDANI United States Attorney's Office
17	405 E. 8th Avenue, Suite 2400 Eugene, OR 97401
18	(541) 465-6771 chris.cardani@usdoj.gov
19	
20	FOR THE DEFENDANT: STEVEN T. WAX Federal Public Defender
21	101 S.W. Main Street, Suite 1700 Portland, OR 97204
22	(503) 326-2123 steve wax@fd.org
23	
24	COURT REPORTER: Deborah Wilhelm, CSR, RPR P.O. Box 1504
25	Eugene, OR 97440 (541) 431-4113



1 (Tuesday, January 6, 2009; 4:19 p.m.) 2 (The following proceedings were had in chambers.) 3 (The defendant is not present.) 4 PROCEEDINGS 5 THE COURT: First, does your client waive his 6 presence today? 7 MR. WAX: My client knows that I am here. discussed it with him. And he is satisfied with my 8 9 presence in his absence. 10 THE COURT: All right. Fine. What I want to 11 talk about is scheduling. I want you to tell me a 12 couple of things. Number one, where we are on the 13 discovery. Number two, I know I've got to go to D.C. to 14 read some paperwork. And I'm trying to schedule that at 15 a time that fits with other -- another trip I need to 16 make. Our courthouse has won four national awards for 17 government buildings and I want to go back to the 18 presentation. And that is the week of -- the middle 19 week of March. And I want to know whether that's too 20 late for our purposes for me to go to Washington or 21 whether I need to make two trips. I'm -- I may have to make trips for other 22 23 reasons because of another big settlement effort I'm getting ready to undertake, but I don't know about that 24

25

yet.

1 So does that foul anyone up, me waiting that 2 long? 3 And then what else do we have coming down the pike? 4 5 I've told my staff on our trial setting so far 6 that they're illusory thus far and don't get uptight 7 about it. 8 If we have a chance now to start looking at a 9 realistic trial date, I'd like to at least start that discussion. 10 11 So I'd rather do it with you here in person. 12 Since you were coming down, I asked you to hustle in. 13 appreciate it. 14 Plus, you know, the local guys here, I'm sure 15 they'll appreciate me taking your attention away from 16 their operation for a second or two. 17 MR. WAX: Probably so. 18 THE COURT: Okay. So if there are other things 19 we ought to talk about, fine. But, really, what I'm interested in is scheduling and process. I've got --20

we ought to talk about, fine. But, really, what I'm interested in is scheduling and process. I've got -I've taken -- I'm probably going to be involved in this Sunwest problem. And it's a two-and-a-half billion dollar problem. And so I know that I'm going to start having things come fast and furious on that. I probably have to go to Washington because we have to work with

21

22

23

24

25

the SEC on it and all this sort of thing. But, nevertheless, I'd like to do our best to try to seize on a date.

If we can't do it now, then a time when we can get together and choose a trial date, that works, okay? So that's really what -- I'm all ears.

MR. CARDANI: Steve and I spoke on the phone earlier today. And let me just go first, and correct me if I'm wrong, but, Judge, we think that we need some balls and strikes called on the CIPA filings to give you realistic feedback on what's a realistic trial date. And that's because if certain things — if you do certain things on the CIPA filings that allow him access, then we have interlocutory appellate rights to consider under CIPA. Vice versa there may be something for him to at least consider.

And also what comes out of that whole ruling will affect other discovery, so -- even on the non-classified side perhaps. So a fair statement, I think, that we both feel that to get a real realistic trial setting requires some what I call heavy lifting, some reading from you of the materials waiting for you in D.C.

THE COURT: Okay. I'm waiting for a brief from Steve. And what then has to happen to tee that up?

1 MR. CARDANI: He's about to do that. THE COURT: Not just the brief, but what needs 2 3 to happen to tee up the decisions you need to have a 4 realistic idea of a trial setting? 5 MR. CARDANI: The -- there is some material --6 a lot of material waiting for your decision. 7 THE COURT: I understand that. 8 MR. CARDANI: Three or four filings. His 9 supplement. That will give you some direction on what 10 we're looking for for rulings. So rulings on those 11 filings. And then you'll have a much better 12 understanding as to where we're coming from. And I think maybe a status conference sometime after that 13 14 would be appropriate. 15 Maybe -- and this can be done any way you want. 16 We can -- I think it's been brought to your attention 17 that we can have us or other government representatives 18 meet you in D.C., if you have questions on the materials 19 that we filed. 20 With his, I suppose you could do the same. But 21 after you're all done with the reading, we're looking 22 for some direction from you as to how you want to handle 23 it.

THE COURT: All right. Well, let me tell you that in talking to my staff this morning, in fact, I'd

24

25

rather have my court reporter there, too. She's cleared. And if I need to get something, I can. I understand if we make a transcript, it's got to be left back there. So she'd have to make a transcript at that time. It works in Deb's schedule all right. And I am going — if we're going to have some discussion to the extent I bring lawyers in, and I had some questions about that, and I've asked folks to talk to Erin today to check on, you know, what usually happens there, then I'm going to want to try to do it that week. I'm probably going to spend the whole week in Washington. And, you know, I can move it forward, but I've got lots of other commitments, too.

MR. CARDANI: Okay.

THE COURT: I'm taking a trial in Montana that all the judges had to disqualify themselves. I've got stuff, anyway, Court of Appeals has asked me to help, and their scheduling person is good to me, so I'm going to help them in Pasadena. So there is -- you tell me how much -- I don't want to prejudice anyone by my schedule. What is your impression if I go back -- what is that Monday?

THE CLERK: March 16th is Monday.

THE COURT: What if I go back on the 15th and allow the first three days to do work on this case back

there, does that prejudice either of your clients?

MR. CARDANI: No. It's -- from the government's perspective, we'd prefer that you do it sooner than later, but you've got a lot of things on your plate, and we understand that. So we hustled to get those things filed back in September in the hopes that, frankly, that would have been read sometime in the fall. The case is on hold until that's done. So whenever you can get to it, the sooner the better, we can meet you anytime, anywhere, if that's something you want to do.

THE COURT: Okay. Your position on this is probably even more important, Steve, so tell me what you have.

MR. WAX: The reality of the prejudice, Judge, lies, at this point, primarily in the release issues that we brought to your attention, and we got the ruling on the other day. The computer access and Internet computer access is becoming a hindrance for us in terms of case preparation. It's also a real world hindrance for Mr. Seda in terms of his efforts to run his business and get the business going.

I think that the GPS is more a nuisance and an embarrassment, perhaps, than any sort of real world impediment, although it becomes an impediment if he

wants to and has permission to fly somewhere.

So, you know, the longer the case drags out, the more difficult it becomes to deal with some of the restrictions on the computer access. And while we've talked with the pretrial people about their monitoring software and trying to set up a mechanism, which they say they have, so that he could be monitored, but not his wife, we really don't see the need for it, and would hope that you would reconsider that.

Any other prejudice, it -- you know, there is the prejudice that it tends having something hang over him for a long time.

When Chris and I were talking this afternoon, we were thinking that if you are going in March, and depending on the result of the visit and whether or not either side would be thinking of an interlocutory appeal, trial date may be at the end of October, I think becomes realistic, but --

MR. CARDANI: 2009?

MR. WAX: 2009, yes. Yes, this year. At least in terms of the ballpark to be thinking about.

The one other issue that we look at as a potential interlocutory issue is the question of our communication with our client that is currently not permitted. And hopefully that will be cleared up by a

trip to Washington. Depending on which way you come down on that, that's also another potential interlocutory issue.

In terms of regular nonclassified discovery, when we were in court whenever it was, a month or so ago, I think we identified a couple of issues on which we just can't get together, and on which we need a ruling.

One has to do with whatever computer forensic work the government has done on the hard drives and the other media that they seized, which we still want, believe we should get, and would help speed up the nonclassified preparation of the case, and potentially save the government a fair amount of money.

So I'm trying to remember what other issues we identified at that time.

THE COURT: Those things we talked about at the hearing?

MR. WAX: Yeah. But I think that rulings on those could help us in moving forward on the nonclassified aspects of the case.

THE COURT: All right. One other question I have for you is if I just -- if I upset my schedule and find a way to do it, and decide to go earlier because of some of these considerations and others, what are your

```
schedules like? What --
1
 2
             MR. CARDANI: Flexible, very flexible.
 3
             THE COURT: What availability questions do you
    have?
 4
 5
             MR. CARDANI: For me and for Charles Gorder,
    we're at your beck and call. So whatever you think you
 6
7
    may need from us, but some of the other materials may --
 8
    if you have questions about them --
 9
             THE COURT: Are you going to take a full
10
    caseload in your new responsibilities?
11
             MR. CARDANI:
                           No. I'm shedding my cases.
12
    this one here is staying right here.
13
             THE COURT: Okay.
14
             MR. CARDANI: But, Judge, some of the other
15
    filings were done by other lawyers, and they are back
16
    East, and so I have to check with them, but I assume
17
    they are even more available because they are there.
18
             One -- another thing in terms of scheduling, we
19
    expect that the defense is going to consider filing
20
    motions to suppress on the nonclassified side. We did a
21
    search warrant internationally; maybe a motion to
22
    dismiss the indictment; just a garden variety. I think
23
    it would help, to the extent that you think you could do
24
    those based on the discovery to date and they don't
    involve classified stuff, maybe we could get a schedule
25
```

going on that in the hopes of preserving a trial date.

MR. WAX: Chris, when Larry and I were talking this afternoon, our sense was that the motions that we're likely to file are going to be primarily trial type motions. I mean, you may have a motion in limine, and we've talked about some disagreements about evidence. Motions to dismiss that we've talked about relates to some of the classified issues. A motion to suppress what, you know, took place in the non-classified search warrant is, I think, pretty, you know, straightforward, and I wouldn't see that slowing things down.

So our thought on that would be that it would make more sense until the review of the classified material in Washington is concluded, and we could then hopefully set a motion schedule that would cover anything that relates to classified and unclassified material, and then a second phase that would be trial type of evidentiary motions.

I do think, Judge, that it would be good in this case to try to get rulings on any evidentiary pieces relatively far in advance of the trial, so that if we end up with, let's say, an October 20th trial setting, if we could have rulings on evidentiary trial type motions, you know, at the end of August or

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
something so that we'd each have two months, give or
take, for the final trial prep where we know what the
landscape is and that --
         MR. CARDANI: Some potentially significant
motions in limine. We're going to be fighting over
terrorism-related evidence, and you're going to want to
hear about that in advance of trial yourself.
         THE COURT: No. When I go to Washington, do
you plan to have Oregon lawyers there from your office
and your office?
         MR. WAX: We would not unless you want us to.
My -- I'm -- I mean, I don't know what your plans are.
We've asked to participate in the process. And at this
time, our participation is in the ex parte filing, which
you should have hopefully by January 15th, maybe even
January 20th, but we'll have that to you for sure this
month.
         If you need us to be present and you think that
we should be, then either Larry or I will make sure that
we're available to be there the week that you are there.
         THE COURT: Why don't we do it this way: I'm a
little chagrined that I can't get this done in February,
but it's just very difficult. I'd like you to be
available -- and the Monday is the 16th?
        THE CLERK:
                    16th.
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: That if you got a call from me at the end of the day on the 16th, that someone could get on an airplane on the 17th, and come back, and we could take care of whatever we need to on the 18th. MR. CARDANI: Okay. THE COURT: Or the 19th. The 19th, I'm going to be busy part of the time because I'm going to enjoy the party for our courthouse, but not necessarily all day, so. MR. CARDANI: My understanding of what they're about to file is, in essence, this is how we may defend the case, these are the issues that are important to us, and be on the lookout for them as you review the materials so that you can have a meaningful ruling. Fair enough? MR. WAX: Yes. THE COURT: I'd like to have that, because there are some potential documents that my staff have been cleared to look at and some that they can't. MR. CARDANI: Yes. THE COURT: So I think I need to be prepared before I go. MR. CARDANI: So I think with that, it'll be teed up. And offering to be there and answer questions

is going to depend a lot on whether you have questions

coming out of that. It can be done then. Or it can wait until you come back -- and I have to talk to the court security officer, but I think we can do that here in the district.

THE COURT: Yeah, we possibly could, but the concern I have is that it's my understanding for some potential materials -- and I don't know, I have some descriptions, but for some potential materials that it's very limited -- information I can bring home with me is very limited. And so I will want to -- if I have a question -- get it answered while it's fresh.

MR. CARDANI: On that, on the really good stuff that I haven't read and I haven't been cleared to see, don't know if I ever will be, that's somebody back East's responsibility -- it's a lawyer back East that will be on a filing, and they are the ones that we can also make -- maybe make available for a call, and they can -- for them, it'll probably be a quick drive.

THE COURT: All right. That would be fine, too.

Now, one other thing, just to make sure there is no issue on this, I don't have to do this, but one of my friends in Washington that I've threatened to -- he keeps asking me to spend a couple of days at his house, they've got a huge house, that he and his wife have.

```
1
    And it's Bob Bennett. And as you know, he defends
 2
    criminal cases. So if you've got any issue with that, I
 3
    want to put everything on the record.
 4
             MR. CARDANI: I have no issue whatsoever with
5
    that. Although, I hope you'd consider consulting with
6
    his brother as well while you are there.
7
             THE COURT: I haven't met his brother. Well,
8
    I'll tell you some things off the record about that.
9
             All right. Anything more that you fellas have
    then?
10
11
             MR. CARDANI: Yeah, I think we're good.
12
             THE COURT: Okay. Thanks very much.
13
             (The proceedings were concluded at 4:38 p.m.)
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

CERTIFICATE

I, Deborah Wilhelm, Certified Shorthand Reporter for the State of Oregon, do hereby certify that I was present at and reported in machine shorthand the oral proceedings had in the above-entitled matter. I hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript, to the best of my skill and ability, dated this 28th day of January, 2009.

Oregon CSR OO-0363 OF OO-0363

Deborah Wilhelm, RPR
Certified Shorthand Reporter
Certificate No. 00-0363